IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

CIVIL NO. 3:15-CV-00226

HANNAH WILKERSON,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.) <u>CERTIFICATION AND REPORT OF</u>) F.R.C.P. 26(f) CONFERENCE
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,) AND DISCOVERY PLAN
Defendant.))
Rule 26(f) Attorney's Conference of parties were unable to agree on a necessary explanation. Please note conducting the Initial Pretrial Conference 1. Certification of Conference of C	appropriate blanks (print legibly) to certify completion of the and provide the required information to the Court. Where the specific provision or item, please so note and attach any e that this information will be used as a guideline by the judge afterence or issuing the Initial Pretrial Order. Inference. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a meeting was (place) or [X] by the undersigned counsel for the designated parties in the
above-captioned case.	ie undersigned counsel for the designated parties in the
2. <u>Pre-Discovery Discovery Discovery Oise</u> (<i>check one</i>) [X] has been exchange	closures. The information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) ed [] will be exchanged by (date).
	e parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery subparagraphs as necessary if parties disagree.]
All discovery has been co	mpleted.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ommenced in time to be completed by (date).
[if needed] Discove	ry on

	(taentify any issues requiring early discovery) will be completed by (date).				
b)	Discovery Limits:				
	1) Maximum of (<i>ordinarily 20</i>) interrogatories by each party to any other party.				
	2) Maximum of (<i>ordinarily 20</i>) requests for admission by each party to any other party.				
	3) Maximum of depositions by plaintiff(s) and by defendant(s) (ordinarily 6 each) [or by each plaintiff and by each defendant].				
c)	Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) will be due: -from plaintiff(s) by				
Other a)	r Items. [Attach separate paragraphs as necessary if parties disagree.] The parties [X] request [] do not request a conference with the court before entry of the scheduling order.				
b)	All potentially dispositive motions should be filed by – Dispositive motions have been filed and ruled upon in the MDL.				
c)	Settlement: [] is likely [] is unlikely [X] cannot be evaluated prior to the scheduling conference. [] may be enhanced by use of the following ADR procedure:				
	[X] The parties have agreed to a Mediated Settlement Conference[] binding arbitration[] judicial settlement conference[] other				
	C) Other a)				

		condi	cted:
			[] after resolution of any outstanding dispositive motions, but prior to further discovery;
			after an initial round of preliminary discovery to be completed by (date);
			[] after the completion of discovery;
			[] after resolution of summary judgment motions, if any not applicable.
	d)	Final	lists of witnesses and exhibits under Rule 26(a)(3) are due: from plaintiff(s) by – To be discussed at status conference with Court. from defendant(s) by – To be discussed at status conference with Court.
	e)		case is ultimately tried, trial is expected to take approximately 5 days - per se; 8 days - per plaintiff.
	f)	[X]	The parties have discussed the issue of consent to the jurisdiction of a U.S. magistrate judge.
5.	requir	e the (any other matters regarding discovery or case management which may court's attention (e.g., concerns re: confidentiality, protective orders, ble scheduling conflicts)
s/Chris	Cantrel	l, Attor	ey for Plaintiff, 6/1/2015
s/Leslie	e Packer	, Attori	ey for Defendant Boston Scientific Corp., 6/1/2015
s/Jon S	trongma	an, Atto	ney for Defendant Boston Scientific Corp., 6/1/2015

The parties agree that the above selected ADR procedure would be most useful if